UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT	Γ
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	

)	
In re:)	Case No. 12-12020 (MG)
)	
RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, et al.,)	Chapter 11
)	-
Debtors.)	Jointly Administered
)	•

ORDER GRANTING RESCAP BORROWER CLAIMS TRUST'S SIXTY-SECOND OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS (NO LIABILITY BORROWER CLAIMS)

Upon the sixty-second omnibus objection to claims, dated April 22, 2014 [Docket No. 6815] (the "Objection")¹ of the ResCap Borrower Claims Trust (the "Trust"), as successor in interest to Residential Capital, LLC and its affiliated debtors in the above-referenced Chapter 11 Cases (collectively, the "Debtors") with respect to Borrower Claims, seeking entry of an order, pursuant to section 502(b) of title 11 of the United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code"), Rule 3007(d) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, and this Court's order approving procedures for the filing of omnibus objections to proofs of claim [Docket No. 3294] (the "Procedures Order"), disallowing and expunging the No Liability Borrower Claims, all as more fully described in the Objection; and it appearing that this Court has jurisdiction to consider the Objection pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334; and consideration of the Objection and the relief requested therein being a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b); and venue being proper before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; and due and proper notice of the Objection having been provided, and it appearing that no other or further notice need be provided; upon consideration of the Objection and the Declaration of Deanna Horst and the

Capitalized terms used herein and not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to such terms in the Objection.

Declaration of Norman S. Rosenbaum, annexed to the Objection as Exhibits 1-2 respectively, as well as the *ResCap Borrower Claims Trust's Omnibus Reply in Support of Its Sixty-Second Omnibus Objection to Claims (No-Liability Borrower Claims) as to Claim Nos. 1372, 2152, 4702, and 5282* [Docket No. 7062] (the "Reply") and the Supplemental Declaration, annexed to the Reply as Exhibit 1; and the Court having found and determined that the relief sought in the Objection is in the best interests of the Trust, the Trust's constituents, the Debtors, and other parties in interest and that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Objection establish just cause for the relief granted herein; and the Court having determined that the Objection complies with the Borrower Claim Procedures set forth in the Procedures Order; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefor, it is

ORDERED that the relief requested in the Objection is granted to the extent provided herein; and it is further

ORDERED that, pursuant to section 502(b) of the Bankruptcy Code, the claims listed on Exhibit A annexed hereto under the heading "Claims to be Disallowed and Expunged" (collectively, the "No Liability Borrower Claims") are disallowed and expunged with prejudice; and it is further

ORDERED that Kurtzman Carson Consultants LLC, the Debtors' claims and noticing agent, is directed to disallow and expunge the No Liability Borrower Claims identified on the schedule attached as Exhibit A hereto so that such claims are no longer maintained on the Claims Register; and it is further

ORDERED that the Trust is authorized and empowered to take all actions as may be necessary and appropriate to implement the terms of this Order; and it is further

12-12020-mg Doc 7086 Filed 06/11/14 Entered 06/11/14 09:14:17 Main Document

Pg 3 of 19

ORDERED that notice of the Objection, as provided therein, shall be deemed good and

sufficient notice of such objection, and the requirements of Bankruptcy Rule 3007(a), the Case

Management Procedures entered on May 23, 2012 [Docket No. 141], the Procedures Order, and

the Local Bankruptcy Rules of this Court are satisfied by such notice; and it is further

ORDERED that this Order has no res judicata, estoppel, or other effect on the validity,

allowance, or disallowance of any claim not listed on Exhibit A annexed to this Order, and the

Trust's and any party in interest's right to object on any basis are expressly reserved with respect

to any such claim not listed on Exhibit A annexed hereto; and it is further

ORDERED that this Order shall be a final order with respect to each of the No Liability

Borrower Claims identified on Exhibit A, annexed hereto, as if each such No Liability Borrower

Claim had been individually objected to; and it is further

ORDERED that this Court retains jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising

from or related to this Order.

Dated: June 10, 2014

New York, New York

/s/Martin Glenn

MARTIN GLENN

United States Bankruptcy Judge

Exhibit A

6	<u> </u>																					
Corresponding	Page # in Omnibus Objection	8-9, 9-10, 13											10-11, 13-14									
	No Liability Summaries	Debtors' involvement with Claimant's loan v Debtor Residential Funding Company purch June 1, 2006, and Debtor transferred its inte	Homecomings Financial serviced the loan from June 1, 2006 until servicing transferred to GMAC Mortgage. LLC on or about July 1, 2004 MACM Mortgage, LLC serviced the loan until servicing transferred to Cowen Loan Servicine LLC on February 1, 2013.	Claimant asserts claims for "charges to account without any reason, refinance charges." In a letter response	to Debtor's request for more information in support of claim, Claimant asserts he was "charged a lot of interest, did not receive statements and my loan was transferred to another company." Debtors have no	liability for allegations of improper fees and interest charged because all fees and interest charged to claimant's account were assessed in accordance with Debtor's servicing policies, the note, and section 70 of the morrosee seemined by claimant Dehror's records show Claimant's account was charged a trial of SSR0 d.	for late fees and property valuation fees between July 2009 and May 2013, all of whitch were appropriately changed fees and property valuation fees between July 2009 and May 2013, all of whitch were appropriately changed fees and properly appropriately became considerable fees and fees appropriately designed fees and fees the property and property and property and property and fees the property and proper	not until Oct 14, 2009. Therefer, claimant remained past due by at least 5 months of payments on his account.	Debtors have no liability for "refinance charges" assessed at origination because Debtors were not involved with the origination of the loan. The loan was criticiated but Fire Weet Mortrage Bankers, a non-Dathor.	entity, Debots have no late later. The court account statements to Claimant because Debtor's records indicate Debtor sent account statements to Claimant because Debtor's records indicate Debtor sent account statements to Claimant by mail on a monthly basis.	Debtors have no liability for daimant's assertion that their loan was "transferred to another company" because i) there is no evidence that Claimant was damaged by the transfer of servicing to Ocwen in February	2013, and ii) Debtor provided Claimant notice of of the servicing transfer within the 15-day window prior to the transfer date as required under section 3500.21 of the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act.	Debtors' involvement with Claimant's Ioan was limited to Debtors' roles as servicer and purchaser of the Ioan. Debtor Residential Funding Company, LLC purchased the Ioan from First National Bank of AZ, on or about	January 27, 2006. Debtor transferred its interest and the loan was securitized on or about February 1, 2006, where JP Morgan Chase Bank, NA was appointed as Trustee. Debtor GMAC Mortgage, LLC serviced the loan	from March 14, 2006 until servicing transferred to Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC on February 16, 2013.	Claimant's allegation that Debtor improperly foreclosed on March 9th, 2012 when the foreclose sale date was June 24, 2012 is incorrect. Debtor referred the account for foreclosure to Executive Trustee Services LLC on	March 9th, 2012, and the foreclosure sale occurred on $6/25/12$. Claimant's loan was in default and due for $9/1/11$ payment at the time of foreclosure.	Claimant's allegation that Debtor improperly denied Claimant a loan modification is false. Debtor's records show Claimant applied for modification on several occasions between December 2011 and June 2012. In each	instance, Debtor appropriately denied Claimant for HAMP and Traditional Modifications in accordance with applicable investor guidelines because i) all loan modification packages submitted by Claimant were	incomplete, and claimant failed to provide the missing items to Debtor as requested by Debtor, and i) with respect to HAMP, claimant was inveligible because claimant's property was nowen coupled and was the claimant's property was nowen characteristic and thinks to code and almost extended by the country in each instance. Debtor's records channing the contraction of the c	subject to code violations issued by the coding. In each instance, become since people is such as allow below issued timely missing items letters and denial letters and claimant did not provide required information to Debtor.	
Expunged	Reason(s) for Disallowance	Interest Rates and Fees Collected, Origination Issues, General Servicing Issues											Loan Modification, Wrongful Foreclosure									
Claims to be Disallowed and Expunged	Asserted Case Number	12-12032												12-12032								
Claims to be	Asserted Debtor Name	GMAC Mortgage, LLC											GMAC Mortgage, LLC									
	Claim Amount	Administrative Priority	Administrative Secured		Secured	Priority	\$81,611.77 General Unsecured							Administrative Priority	Administrative Secured		Secured	Priority	\$136,000.00 General Unsecured			
	Date Filed	10/17/2012												11/09/2012								
	Claim Number	1362												4100								
	Name of Claimant	Adam Diers 667 Empire Ave West Babylon. NY 11704											Angie Young 7011 W. Voltaire	Peoria, AZ 85381								

	Corresponding Page # in Omnibus	Objection 9, 10-11, 13-14				
		Reason(s) for Disallowance Origination Issues, Loan modification Debtors' involvement with Claimant's loan was limited to Debtors' roles as servicer and purchaser of the Ioan. 9, 10-11, 13-14	Debtor GMAC Mortgage, LLC purchased the loan from Megastar Financial Corp on or about August 20, 2009, and Debtor GMAC and Debtor GMAC. Mortgage LLC serviced the loan from May 21, 2004 until servicing transferred to Cowen Loan Servicing. LLC on February 16, 2013.	Debtors have no liability for Claimant's origination-based claims because no Debtor entity was involved in the origination of this loan. The dawn was originated by Magastar infancial Corp or August 20, 2003. In addition, Debtor has confirmed that there is no assignee liability that would extend to Debtor on these claims. Claimant cites to California Business and Professions Code §17200, however this property is located in Colorado, so the cited California statute is not applicable. Debtors have no liability for the loan modification claims because Debtor handled all aspects of the loan	modification process appropriately. Debto's fectors show that Celimant was approved for a ratifotional trial modification on 11/4/2011. Calimant did not return signed documents or payment which resulted in a denial medification on 11/4/2011. Calimant did not return signed documents or payment which resulted in a denial letter being sent on 11/4/9/2011. A new workout package was received on 2/20/2012, which was denied for traditional mortification on 3/3/2012 because the payment condition to the lowered sufficient income and denied for HAMP modification on 3/13/2012 because the payment conditional not be lowered sufficiently within program guidelines. Calmant sent another complete package on 6/21/2012. Calmant was approved for traditional trial modification on 7/20/2012. Calmant completed the risk successfully. A permanent traditional loan modification was completed on 11/5/2012, bringing the loan current.	Debtors have no liability for wongful foreclosure claims because the loan was delinquent and due for the July 1, 2007 payment, foreclosure proceedings commenced on December 27, 2011 due to Claimant not taking the trial plan referenced above. On 1/10/2012, the foreclosure abe was scheduled for 5/9/2012, however, the Claimant contested the froedosure hearing, and the sale was postponed pending the results of the hearing. On 7/6/2012, the foreclosure was placed on hold to allow time for the traditional trial modification. According to the Debtors' books and records, on 11/6/2012, the foreclosure attorney was notified to dismiss the foreclosure due to the completed permanent modification, and on 12/11/12 a motion to dismiss was filed and subsequently granted, dismissing the foreclosure action.
Expunged	: :	Reason(s) for Disallowance Origination Issues, Loan modificati	, Wrongful foreclosure			
Claims to be Disallowed and Expunged	Asserted Case	Number	12-12032			
Claims to	: : :	Asserted Debtor Name GMAC Mortgage, LLC	.)			
		Claim Amount	Administrative Priority	Secured Priority	UNUQUIDATED General Unsecured	
	:	Date Filed	11/13/2012			
	Claim	Number	4597			
		Name of Claimant Brian Lee Christianson	8316 W Woodward Drive Lakewood, CO 80227			

	Corresponding Page # in Omnibus Objection 8-9, 11-12, 13-14								9.13-14					
	Corresponding Page if in Page if in Omnibus No Liability Summaries Objection Debtors' involvement with Claimant's loan was limited to Debtors' roles as servicer and purchaser of the loan. [8-9, 11-12, 13-14	Debtor Regidential Funding Company, LLC purchased the loan from American Mortgage Express Corp on or about January 24, 2006, and transferred its interest when the loan was securitized on or about February 1, 2006, where US Bank, M was appointed as Trustee. Debtor Homecomings Financial serviced the loan from February 8, 2006 until servicing transferred to GMAC Mortgage, LLC on or about July 1, 2009. GMAC Mortgage, LLC serviced the loan until servicing transferred to Goven Loan Servicing. LLC on February 16, 2013.	Claimant asserts Debtor failed to respond to Qualified Written Requests. Debtors have no liability for the allegation because Debtor verified that responses to the QWRs were sent on $4/5/2012$, $5/11/2012$, $6/15/2012$, and $6/20/2012$.	The loan was originated by American Mortgage Express Corp on December 27, 2005. Debtors have no liability for Claimant's lack-of-standing claims because Debtor has verified that the assignment and endorsement chains are complete and valid. Debtor's records show) the most is endorsed from originator to Residential Funding Corp and from Residential Funding Corp to US Bank, MA, as Trust and, ii) the assignment of mortgage was recorded from MERS, as nominee for originator, to US Bank, NA, as Trustee.	Debtors have no liability for the loan modification daims because Debtor handled all aspects of the loan modification process appropriately. Debtor's records show Gaimant applied for multiple loan modifications, as listed below. Claimant received a traditional loan modification on 1/22/10.	Package received on 6/4/09. Loan denied 6/18/09 due to not being able to come to an affordable payment based on claimant's income and value of property. Package was received on 7/17/09. Loan was denied HAMP due to being non-owner occupied on 8/27/09. Claimant was approved for traditional trial plan on 8/31/09. A permanent traditional modification was completed on 1/22/10. Package was received 4/17/12. Loan was denied HAMP on 4/25/12 and traditional on 4/30/12 due to non-owner occupied.	 Package was received on 5/10/12. Claimant was approved for a HAMP trial plan on 6/10.12, however Claimant called in on 7/18/12 stating the payment setup was not correct and he would send in a new workout package for review. 	 Package was received on 71/sf/12 Loan was denied HAMP on 10/3/12 due to post modification payment not being reduced by at least 10/s from the mortgage payment at time of review. The loan was denied traditional modification on 10/8/12 due to insufficient income to support loan modification request. Package was received on 10/3/12 the loan was denied traditional modification request. modification payment to being reduced by at least 10% from the mortgage payment at time of review. The loan was denied HAMP modification on 2/14/13 because Debtors were not able lower payment enough to make it affordable. 	Claimant had two loans that involved Debtor. Debtor's involvement with the first loan was as originator and		Statement shows the lender paid Claimant's origination fees, and that Claimant was only required to pay the appraisal, document, title, and closing agent fees, which is consistent with general industry practice.	Claimant's second loan was a refinance loan on the subject property, and was not originated by any Debtor entity. The second loan was originated by Ally Bank on April 18, 2012. Debtors' involvement with Claimant's second loan was limited to Debtors' role as servicer of the loan. Debtor GNAC Mortgage serviced the second loan from April 18, 2012 util servicing transferred to Oowen Loan Servicing, LLC on February 15, 2013.	Debtors have no liability for loan modification claims because Debtor handled all aspects of the loan	modification process appropriately. Detroit's records show that Gainman's claim modification allegations all modification was denied on 10,077,2009 because Calimant's financial information indicated that Claimant should be able to afford the existing mortgage payments. A financial information indicated that Claimant should be able to afford the existing mortgage payments. A forberance to plan was approved not 10/9/2008 stating Claimant needs to make payments from 11/1/09 to 41/1/10 and the account would be reviewed for loan options (including loan modification) while in the forbearance; the documents were sent to Claimant on 10/14/2009. The forbearance plan required the borrower peak 5953.16 from 11/10/1/2009 to 04/01/2010. A review of the loan servicing notes, the borrower plan and it was subsequently canceled. Claimant requested a loan modification on 3/25/2010 and was advised by the Debtor that based on the financial information provided they would be mortgage payments on their existing loan.
d Expunged	Reason(s) for Disallowance Standing Issues, Loan Modification,	General Servicing Issues							Origination Issues, Loan Modification					
claims to be Disallowed and Expunged	Asserted Case Number	12-12020								12-12032				
Claims	Asserted Debtor Name Residential Capital, LLC								GMAC Mortgage, LLC					
	Glaim Amount	Administrative Priority Administrative Secured	\$176,383.36 Secured	Priority General Unsecured						Administrative Priority	Administrative Secured	Secured	Priority	UNUQUIDATED General Unsecured
	Date Filed	11/08/2012								11/12/2012				
	Claim Number l	3699 1								4439 1				
	Name of Claimant Brock Willams	940 College Drive San Jose , CA 95128							Claudio & Lauren Scirocco	1950 Paradise Ave Hamden, CT 06518				

Corresponding	Objection	10-11, 13-14															ອ 				_						
	No Liability Summaries	Debtors' involvement with Claimants' loan was limited to Debtors' roles as servicer and purchaser of the loan. Debtor GMAC Mortgage, LLC purchased the loan from Mid-Coast Mortgage on or about May 31, 1997, and	Debtor transferred its interest to Fannie Mae thereafter. Debtor GMAC Mortgage, LLC serviced the loan from May 31, 1997 until servicing transferred to GreenTree on February 1, 2013.	Claimants are in litigation with Fannie Mae in a contested eviction, where no Debtor is a party.	Debtors have no liability for wrongful foreclosure and loan modification claims because Debtor handled all assesses of the loan modification process appropriately. Debtor's records show that the acrount had been	referred initially to foreclosure on March 10, 2007, but the Claimants reinstated on March 30, 2007. The	account was reterred to foredosure a second time on september 9, 2007 and was closed November 21, 2007 due to loan modification activity. Claimants entered into a traditional loan modification on December 1, 2007	which brought the account current. Claimants fell behind on payments when the June 1, 2008 payment was not paid on time and the account was referred to foreclosure again on June 5, 2009. Claimants submitted a	workout package on June 16, 2009. A HAMP trial modification was set up and Claimants completed the HAMP trial name on November 10, 2009. Claimants were announced for a nermanent HAMP loan modification on April	6, 2010, however it was denied April 29, 2010 due to Claimants' failure to accept the terms of the modification and refusal to exempt the loan modification are not claimants sent in loan modification.	packages on the following dates:	- July 14, 2010 - This was denied due to the previous HAMP denial. Traditional modification review was to be completed once all missing items were received.	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	 - August o, Autu - Missing Items received and package complete. I rantional modification behiefor August 10, 2010 as it was determined Claimants could not afford modified payments. 	 October 8, 2010 - HAMP denied again due to previous denial. Traditional modification denied October 15, 2010 for insufficient income. 	Foredosure sale was set for February 10, 2011. Claimants requested a postponement to try another work	out/loan modification review, but the investor (Fannie Mae) did not allow postponements at the time. The	foreclosure sale was held on February 10, 2011, but was later deemed invalid as Claimants filed bankruptcy.	THE DATIKE UPICY WAS UISHISSED OF MATCH 14, ZOLL BING A FEW SAFE DATE WAS SET FOR MAS ZV, ZOLL: CIBILIBRIS CONTACTED DEBTOR ON MAY 23, 2011 to inquire about a short pavoff, and stated that they were receiving a	reverse mortgage payoff from another bank. Debtor advised to fax the approval letter for review. Debtor also	advised that there would be no guarantee that the foreclosure sale would be postponed. Servicing notes state	that Fannie Mae would not pursue a settlement or short payoff as the foreclosure sale was too close. Package was received from Claimants on May 24, 2011 but too close to the sale and denial was sent out May 26, 2011.	Property was sold on May 27, 2011. Claimants assert that the modification should have included principal	forgiveness; however, the Debtor acted within HAMP and investor guidelines at the time of each loan	modification request. Fannie Mae's Servicing guide gives the servicer authority to service these loans, including the authority to foreclass on bahalf of Earnie Mae, in accordance with the terms of the underlying	including the authority to foredoze on behalf of familie mac, in accordance with the terms of the underlying note(s) and mortgages.	
expunged	Reason(s) for Disallowance	Wrongful Foreclosure, Loan Modification																									
oe Disallowed and	Asserted Case Number		12-12032																								
Claims to i	Asserted Debtor Name	GMAC Mortgage, LLC																									
	Claim Amount		Administrative Priority	Administrative Secured		Secured		Priority			\$320,000.00 General Unsecured																
	Date Filed		11/16/2012																								
	Claim Number		5613																								
	Name of Claimant	6 Edward M. Rego and Emanuela R. Rego	Attorney Jennifer L. Kurrus Merrimack Valley Legal Services,	Inc. 35 John St, Ste 302	Lowell, MA 01852																						

Corresponding	Page#in Omnibus Objection	10-11, 10, 13																														
	No Liability Summaries	Wrongful Foreclosure, Escrow Issues, Debtors' involvement with Claimants' Ioan was limited to Debtors' roles as servicer and purchaser of the Ease Chargost	July 21, 2005, and Debtor transferred its interest when the loan was securitized on or about October 1, 2005,	where US Bank, NA was appointed as Trustee. Debtor GMAC Mortgage, LLC serviced the loan from January 24, 2007 until servicing transferred to Ocwen on February 16, 2013.		Claimant states "Breach of Contract - Arizona Superior Court Plma County case #C20108512" as the basis for claim. The causes of action include allegations related to wrongful foreclosure, escrow issues, wrongful	charging of fees, and wrongful return and/or application of payments made by Claimant. Debtor's records show a portion of the case was dismissed on July 23, 2012 , leaving the breach of contract claim and	equitable/non-monetary claims. Thereafter, the equitable claims/non-monetary claims were waived as a	result of Claimant not opposing Debtor's Motion for Relief from Stay filed in Claimants' bankruptcy case,	which was grained on Julie 11, 2013. Additionally, claimans have vacated the property. The only remaining claim pertained to the alleged misapplication of payments, which was dismissed by the Court for lack of	prosecution on January 3, 2014. Debtors have no liability for the claims that Debtor mishandled certain	payments. Debtor's records show on November 5, 2009 Claimants were sent a notice informing them of the	escrow shortage of \$700.12. Claimants did not pay the shortage and beginning on January 1, 2010, the	monthly payments were increased to cover the shortage, which was spread out over 12 months. In January	and February of 2010, Claimants sent payments without the increase needed to satisfy the shortage amount.	The January check of \$2,389.45 was held as unapplied since it was not sufficient to pay the entire payment.	When the February check of \$2,389.45 was received, a portion of that payment was applied to the January	shortage and the full payment was then applied as the January payment, bringing the account due for the	February 2010 payment. The remainder of the February payment was applied to principal reduction of the	account. Further payments were not received and the loan subsequently went into default and Claimants reneived a notice of breach in May 2010. The nonerty was sold at a foreclosure sale on October 26, 2010.	received a notice of preaching may botto. The property was soin at a forectiosure safe off October 20, 2010.	In connection with the litigation, Claimants allege that checks dated 2/28/10, 3/12/10 and 4/7/10, were	mailed to Debtor to cure the default. Debtor reviewed its records and could not find any records of those	alleged payments. The alleged checks were written against an account not normally used by Claimants for	payment of the mortgage debt. Copies of the checks obtained through discovery indicated the checks were	never received at a payment center, based on the lack of a "Scan Line" on the check. All checks received at a	payment center are first marked with a Scan Line, regardless if the check is applied or returned.	Additionally, as part of the litigation, bank records were subpoenaed which showed that the account the	checks were written against would not have had sufficient funds to honor such checks around the dates	allegedly written by Claimants. Therefore, even if Debtor had received the checks, they would have been	Insufficient to cure the default.	
Expunged	Reason(s) for Disallowance	Wrongful Foreclosure, Escrow Issues,																														
Claims to be Disallowed and Expunged	Asserted Case Number		12-12032																													
Claims to E	Asserted Debtor Name	GMAC Mortgage, LLC																														
	Claim Amount		Administrative Priority		Administrative Secured		Secured		Priority			\$780,000.00 General Unsecured																				
	Date Filed		10/15/2012																													
	Claim Number		1252																													
	Name of Claimant	Forman, Mary Kelly and Michael,	MICHAEL FORMAN VS GMAC	MORTGAGE LLC P.O. Box 43490	Tuscon, AZ 85733																											

Corresponding Page # in Omnibus Objection	10-11, 13-14					3	10-11, 13-14, 14-						
No Liability Summaries	This claim includes allegations relating to two different properties.	Debtors' involvement with Claimant's loan on the St. Augustine property was limited to Debtors' roles as servicer and purchaser of the loan. Debtor GNAC Mortgage, LLC, purchased the loan from USAA Federal Savings Bank on or about August 28, 2007, and transferred its interest in the loan to Famile Mae on or about Polebro 7000. Debtor GNAC Mortgage, LLC serviced the loan from August 28, 2007 until the foreclosure in 2011.	Debtors' involvement with Claimant's loan on the Miramar property was limited to Debtors' roles as servicer and purchaser of the loan. Debtor GMAC Mortgage, LLC purchased the loan from USAA Federal Savings Bank on or about June 3, 2004, and transferred it interest in the loan to Famile Mae on or about August 16, 2004, and the Control of the Control of the Control of the Control of Control of the Control of Control	Debta what workings, i.e. service the loan hour 13, 2004 until servicing was unishered to dreening. Servicing on 02/01/2013.	Debtors have no liability for allegations of wrongful foreclosure or wrongful denial of a loan modification in connection with the St. Augustine loan because i) Claimant halos obtain loan modification or other alternatives to refereit, and ii) Claimant falseled to take the required steps to obtain loan modification or other alternatives to increciosure. Debtor's records show i) Claimant's loan was appropriately referred to foreclosure on 5/9/2009 and Debtor received final Judgment of Foredosure on 7/15/10 in the Circuit Court of St. Johns County, FL. Debtor performed an independent analysis to determine if Claimant was eligible for traditional modification, and on 6/15/11, Debtor sent an unsolicited offer by mall to Claimant for a permanent modification. Claimant were returned the executed modification documents, and as a result, the foreclosure sale was held on	Debtors have no liability for allegations of wrongful foredosure or wrongful denial of a loan modification in connection with the Mirman cloan because and appropriate politics, procedures and applicable guidelines in considering Calmant for loan modification. Debtor's records show Debtor approach a HAMP modification for Claimant on or about 8/31/10, but the co-borrower failed to execute the documents and a modification was not completed on that basis. Subsequently, a second modification was approved and completed on That Debtor's records show that the Claimant was current on the modification as the time of transfer to Green Tree.		Description of the property of	loan from January 26, 2006 until servicing transferred to GMAC Mortgage, LLCon or about July 1, 2009. GMAC, Mortgage, LLC servicing LLC envised the loan until servicing transferred to Cowen Loan Servicing. LLC on February 16, 2013.	Claimant did not assert a basis for liability in the proof of claim. In response to Debtor's letter requesting additional information in support of claim, Claimant states he is a victim of predatory lending in connection with his attempts to modify his loan, which ended in the subject property being lost to foreclosure.	Debtors have no liability for allegations of improper denial of loan modification because Debtor took all appropriate steps in evaluating the Claimant for a loan modification in accordance with applicable investor guidelines and Debtor's servicing policies and procedures. Debtor approved Claimant for a permanent modification on 11/20/2008, however, Claimant did not make the contribution payment required to execute the modification, and it was denied on 3/13/09. Claimant applied again for modification and it was denied on 11/24/09 due to Claimant not making all of the required trial payments.	Debtors have no liability for the allegation of wrongful foreclosure because i) Caimant was past due at the time of foreclosure sale and Debtor was within its rights to foreclose. Debtor's records show claimant's account was referred to foreclosure on June 2008 payments, and ill bettor provided several opportunities for loan modification to prevent foreclosure, but in each instance, Claimant failed to make required contribution or trial payments to obtain modification. Debtors forecold show a advised by the Debtor on 11/30/09 that a payment of 23.3.35.31 had to be made by 12/3/90 in order to prevent foreclosure.	In addition to the aforementioned reasons for disallowence, this claim does not sit at ResCap but at GMAC Mortgage, LLC.
Asserted Case Asserted Case Thane Number Reason(5) for Disallowance	Wrongful Foreclosure, Loan Modification						Loan Modification,	VI OIBIUI FOI ECIOSUIE, VII OIB DEDIOI					
Asserted Case Number		12-12032						12-12020					
Asserted Debtor Name	GMAC Mortgage, LLC						Residential Capital, LLC						
Claim Amount		Administrative Priority Administrative Secured	Secured		UNUQUIDATED General Unsecured			Administrative Priority	Administrative Secured	UNLIQUIDATED Secured	Priority General Unsecured		
Date Filed		11/09/2012						11/05/2012					
Claim Number		4210						2173					
Name of Claimant	Gabriel Toala-Moreno 636 SW 107th Ave	Pembroke Pines, FL 33025					Godfrey Comrie	50273 NE ZIIU AVE IL-24 Miami, FL 33179					

In re RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, et al. (CASE NO. 12-12020 (MG)) (JOINTLY ADMINISTERED)

Corresponding	Page#in Omnibus Objection	7-8, 9, 13-14							9, 10-11, 13-14								
	No Liability Summaries	Origination issues, Loan Modification, Debtors' involvement with Claimant's loan was limited to Debtors' roles as servicer and purchaser of the loan. General No Liability Debtor Residential Enndring Company, LLC, purchased the loan from Ameritrust Mortgage Company, LLC, on or	about Magust 1b, LUDs, and transferred its interest and the loan was securitized on or about spetiment 1, 2005, where IP Morgan Chase Bank, NA was appointed as Trusted Protecomings Financial serviced the loan from August 1b, 2005 until servicing transferred to GNAAC Morngage, LLC on or about July 1, 2009.	GMAC Mortgage LLC serviced the loan until servicing transferred to Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC on February 16, 2013.	Claimant alleges that the Debtor never disbursed loan proceeds in the amount of \$122,000 to him directly, and further asserts that he is seeking the return of all monies paid to the Debtor as well as forgiveness of the	original obligation. Claimant further alleges that the loan modification entered into 4/1/2010 "amended and supplemented" the original motoe and therefore invalidates the original intogragae. Debtor has no idealing the Critical into an originality originality continuant's originaling the patter has no ideality to Calimant's originaling these claims the cause no Debtor entity was involved in the	origination of this loan. The purchase money loan was originated by AmerTrust Mortgage Company LLC on July 14, 20.05. No Destor, was involved with the closing of the loan or disbursement of funds at dosing; a review of the HUJ 2.5 stellnennt Statement shows the funds were disbursed to the seller to fund the purchase of the property and not to Claimant.	Debtors have no liability for loan modification claims because Debtor handled all aspects of the loan modification process appropriately. Debtor's records show that on 3/5/2009, Claimant requested loss mitigation assistance from the Debtor, as he could no longer afford payments. Debtor approved Claimant for a Loan Modification on 03/99/2009; however, Claimant contacted Debtor on 03/16/2009 to reject the terms of the modification. A permanent Modification was later fully executed by Claimant on 04/01/2010.	Origination Issues, Loan Modification, Debtors' involvement with Claimant's loan was limited to Debtors' roles as servicer and purchaser of the loan. Wrongful Foreclosure Debtor GMAC Mortgage, L.C purchased the loan from Central Pacific Mortgage Company, which originated	the loan on December 2, 2005. Debtor subsequently transferred its interest and the loan was securitized on or about March 30, 2006, where JP Morean Chase Bank, Na was anoninted as Trustee. Debtor GMAC	Mortgage, LLC serviced the loan from December 12,2005 until serviding transferred to Ocwen Loan Serviding. LLC on Feb 16th, 2013.	Debtors have no liability for Claimant's origination-based claims because no Debtor entity was involved in the origination of this loan by Central Pacific Morgage Company. In addition, bethor has confirmed that there is no assignee liability that would extend to Debtor on these daims. A claim under California Business and	Professions Code 17200 has three prongs: unlawfulness, unfairness, and fraud. In general, an unfair practices claim under section 17200 teamort be predicted on violations liability. Advenderdant's liability write beased on his neveroal particition in the unlawful, unfair or fraudulent practices. Accordingly Debtor would not his neveroal particition in the unlawful, unfair or fraudulent practices. Accordingly Debtor would not	assume these liabilities in its role as servicer or owner of the loan.	Debtors have no liability for wrongful foreclosure daims because Debtor confirmed that this loan has never been referred to foreclosure. As of 9/10/2013 this loan is current and serviced by Ocwen.	Debtors have no liability for loan modification claims because Debtor handled all aspects of the loan modification process appropriately, Debtor's records show that a workout package was received on 81/39/2009, On 9/21/2009 Claimant was denied for both HAMP modification and traditional modification	because the debt-to-income ratio indicated the borrower could afford the mortgage payment. A new worktout peckage was submitted on 2/2/2010. Modification was agan denied on 3/2/2010 for the same reason. A new worktout package was submitted on 6/2/2010. Again the modification was denied on 7/27/12 for HAMP modification and 7/31/12 for traditional, for the same reasons.
	Reason(s) for Disallowance	Origination issues, Loan Modificat General No Liability							Origination Issues, Loan Modificat Wrongful Foreclosure								
	Asserted Case Number	6	12-12020							12-12032							
	Asserted Debtor Name	Residential Capital, LLC							GMAC Mortgage, LLC								
	Claim Amount	A Live Land Comment of the Comment o	Administrative Priority	Administrative Secured	\$100,000.00 Secured	Priority	General Unsecured			Administrative Priority	Administrative Secured	Secured	Priority	UNLIQUIDATED General Unsecured			
	Date Filed	0,000,000	11/08/2012							11/14/2012							
	Claim Number		3//2							4733							
	Name of Claimant	10745 Tara Village Way	Jonesboro, GA 30238						11 Jennifer Mccue 2157 Stockman Circle	Folsom, CA 95630							

Corresponding	Omnibus Objection	7-8, 9, 10-11, 11- 12, 13-14									on .					
	No Liability Summaries	e he	loan from Brighton Lending and transferred its Interest in the loan to Freddie Mac on or about October 16, 2009. Debtor GMAC Montgage LLC serviced the loan from September 11, 2009 until servicing transferred to Ocwen Loan Servicine, LLC on February 15, 2013.		Debtors have no ilability for Claimant's origination-based daims because no Debtor entity was involved in the origination of this loan by Brighton Lending. In addition, Debtor has confirmed that there is no assigned ilability that would accent to Debtor for claims under california Business & Professions Code § 17200. A claim	under this statute has three prongs: unlawfulness, unfairness, and fraud. In general, an unfair practices claim under section 17200 cannot be predicated on vicarious liability. A defendant's liability nust be based on his personal participation in the unhawful, unfair or faudulent practices. The claimant has not provided any specific evidence to sustantiae its general alleaations of fraud and unlawful practices.		becomes never in insmity for chainsains, activity stationing claims because beaution risk vernied untal the redectors ment chain is complete and valid. Debtor's records show that the note is endorsed from originator to Blank.	Debtors have no liability for the wrongful foreclosure claims because i) the loan was current as of the date of service transfer to Ocwen on February 16, 2013 and ii) was never referred to foreclosure.	Debtors have no liability for the loan modification daims because Debtor handled all aspects of the loan modification years appropriately. Debtor seconds so have that a HANP modification was defented on 97/2012 because the loan origination date (9/11/2009) was after the final eligibility date for the HAMP program (1/1/2009). Traditional modification was denied by the investor on 10/24/2012 due to investor limitations. Calimant needed to explain in defail the hardship as the loan was current at time of modification review.	Debtory Involvement with Claimair's Loans was limited to Debtor's rotes, as exvicer and purchaser of the loans. The loans were originated by United Mortgage Corporation on October 18, 2007. Debtor GMAC Mortgage, LLC purchased the loans from United Mortgage Corporation and subsequently transferred its interest in the loans to Famile Make in March and April of 2008. Debtor GMAC Mortgage L.C serviced the loans from October 18, 2009 until servicing transferred to Ocwen Loan Servicing. LLC on February 5, 2013.		Debtors have no ilability for Calmant's origination-based claims because the Debtors were not involved in the origination of these loans and care not liable for alleged wrongdoing of Calmant's loan brokes, a non-debtor entity. In addition, a claim under California Business and Professions Code 17200 is not vaile because the properties at issue are located in Mississippi and that state's law should govern the dispute.			
Expunged	Reason(s) for Disallowance	Origination Issues, Wrongful Foreclosure, Standing Issues, Loan	Modification, General No Liability								Origin atl on Issues					
Claims to be Disallowed and Expunged	Asserted Case Number		12-12032								12-12020					
Claims to b	Asserted Debtor Name	GMAC Mortgage, LLC									Residential Capital, LLC					
	Claim Amount		Administrative Priority	Administrative Secured	Secured	Priority	CTF ACTION	ONDIQUIDATED General onsecured			Administrative Priority	Administrative Secured	Secured	Priority	UNLIQUIDATED General Unsecured	
	Date Filed		11/13/2012								11/09/2012					
	Claim Number		4625								3895					
	Name of Claimant	Jose Melendez 10357 Gaynor Avenue	Granada Hills, CA 91344								Karen W. Officer and Robert W. Officer 3225 McLeod Dr. Suite 100 Las Vegas, NV 89121					

In re RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, et al. (CASE NO. 12-12020 (MG)) (JOINTLY ADMINISTERED)

Corresponding Page# in Omnibus Objection 9, 10-11, 13-14							9. 10-11, 11-12.	13-14								
oan. The osequently n	December 15, 2009 until servicing transferred to Oxwen Loan Servicing, LLC on February 16, 2013. Debtors have no liability for Claimant's origination-based claims because no Debtor entity was involved in the origination of this loan by Goff Savings Bank.	Debtors have no liability for wrongful foreclosure daims because i) the loan was delinquent and due for June	1.2010 payment, il foredicsure was commenced on Novembre 3, 2010, and ii) the assignment and endorsement chains are complete and valid to have initiated foreclosure. Debuc's records show i) the note is endorsement chains are complete and valid to have initiated foreclosure. Debuc's records show ii) the note is endorsed from originate to Aliy Bank from Aliy Bank to GNAAC Morrgage and from GNAAC Morrgage to Blank.	ii) the assignment of mortgage was recorded from MERs, as nominee for originator to GMAC Mortgage. The account was gagin referred to the originate to the receiver the account was gooding for bulk 2010 thorous howants and received to the count was owned for bulk 2010 thorous howants and received to the count was owned for bulk 2010 thorous calls have not been completed but it et ill earlier and being the country.	unough november 2011 poymens. The forectosure sale has not been compreted but is sun active and being pursued by Ocwen.	Debtors have no liability for loan modification claims because Debtor handled all aspects of the loan modification process appropriately. Debto's records show Claimant's loan was reviewed for a loan modification process appropriately. Debto's records show Claimant's loan was reviewed for a loan modification of the process was followed correctly and the information was requested when instance. Claimant applied for modifications on 9/10/10, 9/13/10, 11/5/10 and 1/12/11, and in each instance, claimant applied for modifications on 9/10/10, 9/13/10, 11/5/10 and 1/12/11, and in each be considered for modification under applicable investor guidelines. Claimant applied for a loan modification as 3/30/11 and vas approved for HAMP trial modification agreement. Claimant applied for modification on 6/22/11 and 7/18/11, and in both instances, claimant was denied because loan could not be modification on 6/22/11 and 7/18/11, and in both instances, claimant was denied because loan could not be guidelines. Claimant has only made February through June 2010 payments, there has never been 12 mortgage payments made since origination. Claimant applied for a loan modification on 10/10/11, which was denied because claimant failed to provide the missing information for the workout package that was requested by beton.		LLC serviced the loan from September 19, 2006 until servicing transferred to Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC on February 16, 2013.	Debtors have no liability for Claimant's origination-based claims because no Debtor entity was involved in the origination of this loan. The loan was originated by SBMC Mortgage on June 9, 2006. In addition, Debtor has	confirmed that there is no assignee liability that would extend to Debtor on these claims under California Business, By Professions Code 8, 1720b. A claim under this statute has three prongs; unlawfulness, urifariness, and fraid in naneara an unfair cractices claim under section 1730n cannot be noreitzend no urication.	liability. A defendant's liability must be based on his personal participation in the unlawful, unfair or fraudulent practices. The Claimant has not provided any specific evidence to substantiate its general	allegations of fraud and unlawful practices.	Debtors have no liability for Claimant's lack-of-standing claims because Debtor has verified that the assignment and endorsement chains are omplete and valid, Debtor's records show) the note is endorsed from originator to blank, and it) the assignment of mortgage was recorded from MERs, as nominee for originator, to Deutsche Bann Rational Trust Company, as Trustee for Harborview Mortgage Loan Trust Mortgage Loan Pass Through Certificates, Series 2006-581.	Debtors have no liability for wrongful foreclosure claims because i) the loan was delinquent and due for 5/1/2008 payment when foreclosure proceedings commenced on 8/7/2008, and ii) foreclosure proceedings were cancelled once the permanent loan modification was entered in 2009.	Debtors have no liability for loan modification claims because Debtor handled all aspects of the loan modification process appropriately. Debtor's records show that a workout package was received on modification process appropriately. Debtor's records show that a workout package was received on 8/19/2008. Debtor reviewed the package and recommende a lean modification on 9/18/2008, but the proposed modification was denired by the investor on 9/22/2008. Debtor performed a second review of the somework package and a loan modification was approved on 10/21/2008. Claiment did not return the required documents and make the required payment. Claimant called on 12/8/2008 to advise that he was	unable to make the required payment. Debtor reviewed the loan a third time without requesting additional documents from the claimant. A loan modification was approved on 12/13/2008. Claimant returned the executed modification documents and required payment on 17/12009. The loan was brought current and the foreclosure was terminated. Since then, bebton has sent additional work out packages at Claimant's request. However, a completed package was never returned.
Lxpunged Reason(s) for Disallowance Origination Issues, Loan Modification Wrongful Foreclosure							Origination Issues. Wroneful	Foreclosure, Standing Issues, Loan Modification								
Claims to be bisallowed and Expunged Asserted Case Number Ital, LLC Originatic Wrongful 12-12020								12-12032								
Claims to Asserted Debtor Name Residential Capital, LLC							GMAC Mortgage. LLC									
Gaim Amount Administrative Priority	Administrative Secured	Secured	Priority	IMIDIIIDATED General Hincoritied	סוגוניקסוסאובס פפופוס סוגפנימופס			Administrative Priority	, Administrative Secured	Cornrad		Priority	UNIIQUIDATED General Unsecured			
Date Filed 11/13/2012								11/14/2012								
Claim Number 4539								4726								
Name of Calmant Katherine Staehly 4128 Brickpard Road Tillamob, OR 97141							15 Leo Vieildo Solano	570 Park Way Chula Vista. CA 91910								

	Corresponding Page # in Omnibus Objection 9, 10-11, 11-12,	13-14						9, 10-11, 11-12, 13-14, 14-15								
	r-yongs of Reason(s) for Disallowance Reason(s) for Disallowance Roughination issues; toan Modification; Debtor's involvement with Claimant's loan was limited to its roles as servicer and purchaser of the loan. The		Debtors have no liability for Claimant's origination-based daims because no Debtor entity was involved in the origination of this loan by Brighton Lending. In addition, Debtor has confirmed that there is no assignee origination of this loan by Brighton Lending. In addition, Debtor has confirmed that there is no assignee liability that would extend to bebtor on these daims under California Business & Professions Code § 17200. A daim under this statute has three prongs, unlawfulness, unfairness, and fraud. In general, an unfair practices	claim under section 17200 cannot be predicated on vicarious liability. A defendant's liability must be based on his personal participation in the unlawful, unfair or fraudulent practices. The Claimant has not provided any specific evidence to substantiate its general allegations of fraud and unlawful practices.	Debtors have no liability for Claimant's lack-of standing claims because Debtor has verified that the endorsement chain is complete and valid. Debtor's records show that the note is endorsed from originator to Blank.	Debtors have no liability for the wrongful foreclosure claims because i) the loan was current as of the date of service transfer to Ocwen on February 16, 2013 and ii) was never referred to foreclosure.	Debtors have no liability for the loan modification claims because Debtor handled all aspects of the loan modification process appropriately. Debtor's records show that a HAMP modification was denied on 97/2012 because the loan origination date (91/12009) was after the final eligibility date for the HAMP program (11/12009). Traditional modification was denied by the investor on 10/24/2013 due to investor limitations. Claimant failed to explain in detail the hardship as the loan was current at time of modification review.	Origination Issues; Loan Modification; Debtors' involvement with Claimant's loan was limited to Debtors' roles as servicer and purchaser of the loan. Petron Residential Ending Debtor Residential Funding Company, LLC purchased the loar from First Marchaol Bank Ore about Resease. Whome pehers. Anomehee 73, 2005. and Landserned Its interest when the loan was escription and about January 1, 2006.	where neutral bases, and considered an increase was appointed as Trustee. Debtor Homecomings fraingly spaced were neutral properties and the properties of the control of t	1, 2009. GMAC Mortgage, LLC serviced the loan until servicing transferred to Ocwen Loan Servicing. LLC on February 16, 2013.	Debtors have no liability for Claimant's origination-based claims because no Debtor entity was involved in the origination of this loan. The loan was originated by First National Bank of Arizona on September 30, 2005. In	addition, bedoor has confirmed that there is no assignee liability that would extend to bedoor on these claims. Calmant cless to calfornia Business and Professions Code 17200, however this loan is not on a property in California, but in Illinois, so the cited statute is irrelevant.	Debtors have no liability for Claimant's lack-of-standing claims because Debtor has verified that the assignment and endorsement chains are complete and valid. Debtor's records show i) the note is endorsed from originator to First National Bank of Newals to Residential Ending Corporation and from Residential Funding Corporation to Debtsche Bank National Trust Company Americas, as Trustee, ii) the assignment of mortgage was recorded from MERs, as nominee for originator, to Deutsche Bank National Trust Company Americas,	Debtors have no liability for wrongful foreclosure daims because the loan was delinquent and due for the October 2010 payment and foreclosure proceedings commenced on January 1, 2011. Debtors' records show that the foreclosure has not been completed on this property, and Ocwen is currently handling the foreclosure action. There have been no payments since 2010.	Debtors have no liability for loan modification claims because Debtor handled all aspects of the loan modification process appropriately. Debtor's records show that a HAMP modification was denied on \$1/12/2010 because the financials submitted by Claimann indicated Claiman should be able to afford the existing payments. According to the workout package received the Claimant's DTI was 20.647%. The account was denied due to the payment already being affordable. The Claimant reapplied for loan modification 6/2/10 which reflected that their DTI was 22.424%. The goal for HAMP is to reduce the payment to 31%, since the payment was already well below the target payment the account did not qualify for a loan modification.	In addition to the aforementioned reasons for disallowence, this claim does not sit at ResCap but at GMAC Mortgage, LLC.
o be Disallowed and Evolunged	o exponesto Reason(s) for Disallowance Origination Issues; Loan Modification	Wrongful Foreclosure, Standing Issues						Origination Issues; Loan Modification Wrongful Foreclosure; Standing Issues: Wrong Debtor	Signal Grand							
Claims to be Disallowed and Expunsed	Asserted Case	12-12032						12-12020								
Claimsto	Asserted Debtor Name GMAC Mortgage, LLC							Residential Capital, LLC								
	Claim Amount	Administrative Priority	Auministrative section Section	Priority	UNUQUIDATED General Unsecured			Administrative Prinrity	A COLUMN TO THE PARTY OF THE PA	Administrative Secured	Secured	Priority	UNIIQUIDATED General Unsecured			
	Date Filed	11/13/2012						11/13/2012	11001/01/01							
	Claim Number	4607						4613								
	Name of Claimant Milagro Melendez	10357 Gaynor Avenue Granada Hills, CA 91344						Ngan Moy 1189 Pine Tree Drive								

Corresponding Page # in	Omnibus Objection	10-11, 11-12								10-11, 11-12							
	No Liability Summaries	Debtors' involvement with Claimant's loan was limited to Debtors' roles as servicer and purchaser of the loan. Debtor Residential Funding Company, LLC purchased the loan from Northeast Savings on or about September	28, 2006. Debtor transferred 1ts interest when the loan was securitized on or about November 1, 2006, where US Bank, NA was appointed as Trustee. Debtor GMAC Mortgage, LLC serviced the loan from November.	 2006 until servicing transferred to Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC on February 16, 2013. 	Loan was originated by Columbian Credit Union on November 16, 1990. Debtors have no liability for Claimant's lack-of-standing or authority to foreclose claims because Debtor has verified that the assignment	and endorsement chains are complete and valid. Debtor's records show i) the note is endorsed from originator to blank, ii) the assignment of mortgage was recorded from originator to Northeast Savings, FA,	from Northeast Savings to Residential Funding Company and from Residential Funding Company to US Bank, NA as Trustee. Englished has a printed. Dehther's percents	show that all individuals who signed documents related to the foreclosure had the appropriate authority to also		Debtors' involvement with Claimant's loan was limited to Debtors' roles as servicer and purchaser of the loan. Debtor Residential Funding Company, LLC purchased the loan from Northeast Savings on or about September	28, 2006. Debtor transferred its interest and the loan was securitized on or about November 1, 2006, where US Bank. NA was appointed as Trustee. Debtor GMAC Mortgage. LLC serviced the loan from November 10.	2006 until servicing transferred to Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC on February 16, 2013.	Loan was originated by Columbian Credit Union on November 16, 1990. Debtors have no liability for Claimant's lack-of-standing or authority to foreclose claims because Debtor has verified that the assignment	and endorsement chains are complete and valid. Debtor's records show i) the note is endorsed from originator to blank, ii) the assignment of mortgage was recorded from originator to Northeast Savings. FA.	from Northeast Savings to Residential Funding Company and from Residential Funding Company to US Bank, NA, as Trustee. Foreclosure Deed was recorded in the name of US Bank, NA, as Trustee. Debton's records	show that all individuals who signed documents related to the foreclosure had the appropriate authority to do so.	
Expunged	Reason(s) for Disallowance	Standing Issues, Wrongful Foreclosure								Standing Issues, Wrongful Foreclosure							
cialità to de Disalloweu alla Expangeu	Asserted Case Number		12-12032								12-12019						
	Asserted Debtor Name	GMAC Mortgage, LLC								Residential Funding Company, LLC							
	Claim Amount	:	Administrative Priority	Administrative Secured		Secured	priority		\$125,000.00 General Unsecured		Administrative Priority	Administrative Secured		Secured	Priority		\$125,000.00 General Unsecured
	Date Filed		11/08/2012								11/08/2012						
	Claim Number		3554								3562						
	Name of Claimant	Rafael Estrada Anna G Estrada v US Bank N A as Trustee for RAMP 2006	SP4 Alias GMAC Mortgage LLC Alias and et al	JOHN B ENNIS ATTORNEY AT LAW 1200 RESERVOIR AVE	CRANSTON, RI 02920					Rafael Estrada Anna G Estrada v US Bank N A as Trustee for RAMP 2006	SP4 Alias GMAC Mortgage LLC Alias and et al	JOHN B ENNIS ATTORNEY AT LAW	CRANSTON, RI 02920				

20

Corresponding Page # in Omnibus Objection 8-9, 13, 13-14						7-8, 9, 13-14			
rspungeu Reason(s) for Disallowance Roam Modification, Interest Rates and Debtors' involvement with Claimant's Dean was limited to Debtors' roles as originator, servicer and burchaser	of the loan. GMAC Mortgage, LLC originated the loan on 11/14/2006. Debtor Residential Funding Company, LLC purchased the loan from GMAC Mortgage, LLC, on or about December 14, 2006. Debtor transferred its interest and the loan was securitized on or about January 1, 2007 where Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas was appointed as Trustee. Debtor GMAC Mortgage, LLC serviced the loan from November 14, 2006 until servicing transferred to Oxen Loan Servicing, LLC on February 16, 2013.	in Claimant's proof of claim, Claimant states "mortgage reff" as basis for claim. In response to Debtor's letter requesting information in support of Claimant's proof of claim, Claimant asserts Debtor failed to change the timing of automatic withdrawals as agreed, wrongfully declined to accept Claimant's payments, and set the unpaid principal balance too high on Claimant's loan modification.	Debtors have no liability for the allegation that Calmant failed to change the timing of automatic withdrawals as agreed because i) Calmant's automatic withdrawals were managed by an agreement between Claimant and a Non-Debtor entity, Bisaver. Debtor's records show Claimant called in 3/17/09 requesting Bisaver automatic withdrawal claise to be adjusted and his was advised to call 1800BISAVER because Debtor did not administer the automatic withdrawals. Debtor closs not have the ability or authority to validate if Claimant called Bisaver for changes to the automatic payment.	Debtors have no liability for the allegation that Debtor wrongfully declined Claimant's payments because the returned funds were insufficient to reinstate Claimant's account. As part of Debtor's standed business practices for loans in a forescisary to reinstate Claimant's account. As part of Debtor's standard business practices for loans in a forescisary to reinstate Claimant's account, unless an accommodation to accept a lower amount was explicitly agreed to by Debtor. Debtor returned funds remitted by a borrower's step flower amount was explicitly agreed to by Debtor. Debtor's records show a trail modification was set up for Claimant on 8/13/2010. Claimant completed the trial modification, but Claimant failed to execute the permanent modification agreement sent to Claimant on 11/15/10, as a result, the permanent modification was appropriately denied, and the Claimant's account reverted back to a foreclosure status, subsequently. Debtor received and returned claimant's account reverted back to a foreclosure status, subsequently, are some of the payments (23.511.70) plus outstanding fees was due to reinstate claimant's loan. Debtor's insufficient from the thing and unless 2011/11, was appropriate because Claimant to insufficient from the thing modification was approved with 3 trial payments due between April and June 2011. Claimant completed the trial plan and obtained a permanent loan modification from Debtor on or about 6/22/11.	Debtors have no liability for the allegation that Debtor set the unpaid balance too high under the Claimant's loan modification because Debtor's records show i) the permanent modification sent to Claimant on 11/15/2010, which Claimant failand to execute and was subsequently dended on that basis, had a conrectly protosed principal balance of \$692,427.87, and ii) the permanent modification subsequently executed by Claimant on 6/22/11 correctly reduced the principal balance to the agreed to balance of \$639,294.53, providing significant benefit to Claimant.	Origination issues, Loan Modification, Debtor's involvement with Claimant's Ioan was limited to its roles as servicer and purchaser of the Ioan. Debtor GMAC Morgage, LLC purchased the Ioan from Ally Bank on or about March 3, 2011, and then transferred its Interest in the Ioan to Fannie Mae on or about March 29, 2011. Debtor GMAC Mortgage LLC serviced the Ioan from March 3, 2011 until servicing transferred to Ocwen Loan Servicing. LLC on Feb 16, 2013.	Debtors have no liability for Claimant's origination-based claims because no Debtor entity was involved in the origination or appraisal of this loan. The loan was originated by Ally Bank Corp. on March 3, 2011.	Claimant alleges having issues getting a response for loan modification assistance from the new servicer, Ocwen. Debtors have no liability for Claimant's loan modification claims because no Debtor is involved in the current servicing of this loan, Debtor's records show that prior to the transfer to Ocwen, there was no workfurt nactions ower received. Tabhor's prompt shown that Claimant rooks with Debtor's prompt shown that Claimant rooks with Debtor's and prompt.	1, 2012 inquiring about principal reduction. Claimant was advised they do not not qualify for HAMP modification as property is not owner occupied. The loan was due for March 1, 2013 payment at time of transfer to Ocwen on February 16, 2013.
onins core positives and cypinged Asserted Case Reason(s) for Disallowance to the Number Reason(s) for Disallowance	Fees Collected, General Servicing Issues					Origination issues, Loan Modification General No Liability			
Asserted Case Number	12-12032					12-12032			
Asserted Debtor Name GMAC Mortgage, LLC						GMAC Mortgage, LLC			
Ciaim Amount	Administrative Priority Administrative Secured	Secured	Priority UNLIQUIDATED General Unsecured			Administrative Priority	Administrative secured	Priority	\$100,000.00 General Unsecured
Date Filed	11/07/2012					11/05/2012			
Claim Number	3526					2152			
Name of Claimant Rozalvnne Roelen Bowen	36 Bloomdale Irvine, CA 92614					Scott W or Linda C Ewing 27 Jersey Fleur Dr #9271 Elijay, GA 30540-6911			

In re RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, et al. (CASE NO. 12-12020 (MG)) (JOINTLY ADMINISTERED)

	Corresponding	Page # in Omnibus Objection	13-14						7-8						15					
		No Liability Summaries	Debtor's involvement with Claimant's loan was limited to its roles as servicer and purchaser of the loan. Debtor GMAC Mortrage 11C numbered the loan from Wallick & Volk Inc. on or about Anal 6 2009. Debtor	transferred its interests on or about April 21, 2009 to GNMA. Debtor GNMAC Mortgage, LLC serviced the loan from April 6, 2009 until servicing transferred to Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC on February 16, 2013.	Claimant asserts "services provided/denied loan modification" as basis for claim. Debtor sent a letter to Claimant on June 21, 2013 requesting additional information in support of the proof of claim, but Claimant	failed to respond. The allegation that Debtor improperly derived Claimant loam modification is incorrect because Claimant never submitted a complete workout package for Debtor to review. Debtor's records show that Claimant inquired about loam modification options on 12/21/2010 and 01/19/2011. Debtor received a	workout package from Caimant va fax on 04/12/2011, within was missing the required packtubs for all borrowers. Debtor requested missing frems by 4/29/11 but Claimant failed to provide them to Debtor, and on that basis, Debtor sent a denial letter to Claimant on 05/03/2011. Claimant advised that a new package	would be sent in on 05/09/2011, however, Debtor did not receive another loan modification request package from Claimant.	Debtors' involvement with Claimant's loan was limited to Debtors' roles as originator and servicer of the loan Debtors' Homocompines Financial 11 Coriginated the loan on or about his 20, 2007. Debtors ransferred	its interest and the ban was securitized on or about August 1, 2007, where Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas was appointed as Trustee. Debtor Homecomings Financial serviced the Ioan from July 20, 2007 until	servicing transferred to GMAC Mortgage, LLC on or about July 1, 2009, GMAC Mortgage, LLC serviced the loan until servicing transferred to Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC on February 16, 2013.	Claimant states "mortgage note" as basis for daim. Debtors have no liability because Claimant failed to state a a valid basis for claim, and in an email dated 25/5/13 tata before received from Claimant advised that the Art on the liabout an email at the 25/5/13 tata before received from Claimant advised that the Art on the liabout an email at the Art of the Art on the Liabout as the Art of the	mailed a letter and claim withdrawal form for execution to Claimant on or about June 11, 2013. However, Claimant never executed and delivered the withdrawal form to Debtors, and Debtor reviewed its books and records and found no connection to Claimant.		Debtor's involvement with Claimant's loan was limited to Debtors' role as servicer and purchaser of the loan. Debtor GMAC Mortrage 11C purchased the loan from BDM Mortrage Company 11C on or about March 22	2004. Debtor transferred its interest when the loan was securitized on our about June 30, 2004 where Wells Fargo Bank, AA, was appointed as trustee, Debtor GMAC Managage, LLC serviced the loan from March 22, 2004 until servicing transferred to Secalisted Loan Servicing on December 1, 2000.		In response to Debtors' letter requesting additional information in support of the claim, Calmant states that Debtor wrongfully terminated Calmant's HECOC in connection with an unspecified bankruptoy filing, which inhibited Claimant's ballity to do home improvements. Debtors have no liability for this claim because the Debtors never terminated or suspended the Claimant's HELOC while the Debtors had an interest in the loan.		
SIXTT-SECOND UNINIBUS OBJECTION - NO LIABILITY (BURROWER CLATIMS)	and Expunged	se Reason(s) for Disallowance	Loan Modification						General No Liability						HELOC Suspension					
3US UBJECTION	Claims to be Disallowed and Expunged	Asserted Case Number		12-12032						12-12020						12-12032				
SIXTT-SECOND OWNING	Claims to l	Asserted Debtor Name	GMAC Mortgage, LLC						Residential Capital, LLC						GMAC Mortgage, LLC					
		Claim Amount		Administrative Priority	Administrative Secured	Secured	Priority	\$129,000.00 General Unsecured		Administrative Priority	Administrative Secured	\$3,588.11 Secured	Priority	General Unsecured		Administrative Priority	Administrative Secured	Secured	Priority	\$156,500.00 General Unsecured
		Date Filed		11/16/2012						10/10/2012						11/09/2012				
		Claim Number		2995						1136						4316				
		Name of Claimant	Sean Dustin Lopez	Lakewood, CO 80226					Somphone Vongsavanh,	Somphone Vongsavanh 1665 GA Hwy 18 East	Macon, GA 31217-9448				Terence J. Radzik and Donna L.	1191 W. Kraml Court Palatine, IL 60067				

23

22

In re RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, et al. (CASE NO. 12-12020 (MG)) (JOINTLY ADMINISTERED)

25

	Corresponding Page#in Omnibus	Objection 9-10, 10-11, 11-	12, 13-14, 14-15										13					1
		Reacon(s) for Disallowance Origination Issues; Loan Modification, Debtors' involvement with Claimant's loan was limited to Homecomings Financial, LLC's role as originator and	GMACMortgage, LLCs roles as servicer and purchaser of the loan. Homecomings Financial, LLC originated the loan on or about November 27, 2007 and subsequently transferred it to GMAC Mortgage, LLC, which then transferred its interest in the loan to Fannie Mae on or about Lanuary 3, 2008. Debtor Homecomings Financial serviced the loan from November 21, 2007 until servicing transferred to GMAC Mortgage, LLC on or	about July 1, 2009. GMAC Mortgage LLC serviced the loan until servicing transferred to Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC on Feb 16, 2013.	Claimant asserts that Debtor provided a loan that Debtor knew Claimant would default on. In originating this loan on November 21, 2007, Debtor reviewed Claimant's loan application, credit, and appriatal, which showed	no unusual activity and revealed no signs of laged fraud. There are no servicing records indicating Climant ever mentioned this issue before. Based on the information used to underwrite and approve the loan the debtor would have had no prior knowledge or information that would suggest the Claimant would later	default. Euthermore, a review of the payment history and exciving notes evealed the reason Borrower default cut on the mortgage was "marital difficultes", and until that point, Claimant was voluntarily paying additional principal each month above the required monthly mortgage payment.	Debtors have no liability on Claimant's lack-of-standing claims because the assignment and endorsement chains are complete and valid. Debtor's records show!) the note is endorsed from Homecomings Financial to GMAC Bank, from GMAC Bank to GMAC Mortgage, from GMAC Mortgage to blank, ii) the assignment of mortgage was recorded from MERS as nominee for originator to GMAC Mortgage.	Debtors have no liability for the wrongful foreclosure claims because i) the loan was delinquent and due for March 1, 2011 when foreclosure commenced on June 6, 2011 and, ii) the foreclosure has not been completed and the loan is now serviced by Ocwen.	Debtors have no liability for the loan modification daims because Debtor handled all aspects of the loan modification process appropriately. Debtor's records reflect that Claimant submitted only one incomplete workout packet on July 30, 2010, and has never submitted a completed workout package for loss mitigation review.	In addition, Claimant asserts a claim under California Business and Professional Code §17200. However, this property is not in California, but is in Oregon and therefore the cited statute doesn't apply.	In addition to the aforementioned reasons for disallowence, this claim does not sit at Res Cap but at GMAC Morgage, LLC.	Debtor's involvement with Claimant's loan was limited to its roles as originator and servicer of the loan. Debtor's involvement with Claimant's loan was limited to its roles as originated remover the loan on or about August 5, 2008. Debtor transferred its interest in the loan to Famile Mae on or about September 9, 2008. Debtor GMAC Mortgage, LLC serviced the loan	from August 5, 2008 until servicing transferred to Greenfree Servicing on February 1, 2013. Claimant asserts improper fees in proof of claim, but provides no evidence of the fees at issue. Claimant failed	to respond to Debtor's letter request for more information in support of damages. Monethieless, a review of Debtor's records found that the only fees charged to the Claimant's account were two fees totaling \$40 for payoff statements, which Debtor subsequently waived.			
SIATT-SECOND OWINIDGS OBSECTION - INC. LIMBERT (BORNOWER CLAINS)	d Expunged	Reason(s) for Disallowance Origination Issues; Loan Modificatio	Wrongtul Foreclosure; Standing Issues; Wrong Debtor										Interest Rates and Fees Collected					
	Claims to be Disallowed and Expunged Asserted Case	Number	12-12032										12-12032					
	Claims to b	Asserted Debtor Name GMAC Mortgage, LLC											GMAC Mortgage, LLC					
		Claim Amount	Administrative Priority	Administrative Secured	Secured	Priority	UNLIQUIDATED General Unsecured						Administrative Priority	Administrative Secured	\$0.00 Secured	Priority	UNUQUIDATED General Unsecured	
		Date Filed	11/13/2012										11/09/2012					
	Claim	Number	4594										3876					
		Name of Claimant W Dale Michael	61875 SE 27th Street Bend, OR 97702										Yeon Lim 50650 Colchester Canton, MI 48187					

Corresponding	Page# In Omnibus Objection	8-9, 11-12	2		ď	108,	uo ı		0	n l ded ant's
	No Liability Summaries	Debtor's involvement with Claimant's loan was limited to its roles as servicer and purchaser of the loan. Debtor GMAC Mortrage 11C nurchased the loan from Onlicken Loans for any on a shout Ortshar 22, 2008, and	readout sinverwintigage, tack partitions of a foreign control to the control to t	February 16, 2013.	Debtor found no evidence to support the allegations that Debtor purportedly violated TILA and the FDCPA, and that Debtor did not have standing to sevice claimars to sam, with respect to the TILA allegations, claiman revoked an oscientist standing to pather in research that allegations	Debtor's records show i) Claimant's loan originated with Quicken Loans, a Non-Debtor entity, on 09/26/2008. Triamant research money confinence in the Tokkey would be confinented now a federal of 17/27 2000 in 18.	Debtor continued to service the loan on behalf of investor FNMA until servicing was transferred to Ocwen on	UZ/19/2013, iv) Debtor properly maleer Calmant a notification of the servicing transfer to Cowen on 02/05/2013, and old yocwen transferred servicing back to Quicken Loans effective 08/01/2013 and Oowen provided ronner notification by mail to the Calmant on 07/15/2013. Debtors have no liability for Standing	Issues claims because at all times the note was properly endorsed and all assignments properly recorded giving Debtor standing to service the loan on behalf of the respective investor(s).	Debtor verified that!) no unwarranted fees were assessed to Claimant's account, ii) credit was reported appropriately, and iii) payments were applied in accordance with the terms of the note. Debtor's research shows claimant filed a Qualified Written feequest ("QWR") on August 28, 2013 in which Claimant dischard to Claimant dischard to Claimant on September 17, 2013 and provided credit reporting and the Claimant's Gebt. Oowen responded to Claimant on September 17, 2013 and provided on copy of the signed note and payment history to address the requests and challenges presented in Claimant's
	Reason(s) for Disallowance	General Servicing Issues, Standing	conce							
	Asserted Case Number		12-12032							
	Asserted Debtor Name	GMAC Mortgage, LLC								
	Claim Amount		Administrative Priority	Administrative Secured	Specified	Driority		\$150,000.00 General Unsecured		
	Date Filed		08/28/2013							
	Claim		7160 (
	Name of Claimant	Joseph and Elizabeth La Costa	San Diego, CA 92108							